The Association of State Wetland Managers Presents: Improving Wetland Restoration Success Webinar Series

Bottomland Hardwood Restoration

Presenters:

John A. Stanturf, PhD, U.S. Forest Service John W. Groninger, PhD, Southern Illinois University

Moderators: Jeanne Christie & Marla Stelk



Supported by EPA Wetland Program Development Grant 83578301 and the U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service Coastal Program

HAVING TROUBLE WITH THE SOFTWARE?



Don't Panic we've got it covered!

Check your email from today:

- 1. You were sent a link to instructions for how to use the Go To Webinar software.
- 2. You were also sent a PDF of today's presentation. This means you can watch the PDF on your own while you listen to the audio portion of the presentation by dialing in on the phone number provided to you in your email.



If you have any technical difficulties during the webinar you can send us a question in the webinar question box or call Laura at (207) 892-3399 during the webinar.

AGENDA

- Welcome and Introductions (15 minutes)
- Bottomland Hardwood Restoration (60 minutes)
 - Restoring Bottomland Hardwood Forests
 John A. Stanturf, PhD, U.S. Forest Service
 - Bottomland Hardwood Forests: Managing the Middle Years

John W. Groninger, Southern Illinois University

- Question & Answer (20 minutes)
- Wrap up (5 minutes)





WEBINAR MODERATORS





Jeanne Christie, Executive Director

Marla Stelk, Policy Analyst

WETLAND RESTORATION PROJECT

- Interdisciplinary workgroup of 22 experts
- Monthly webinar series
- Draft white paper based on webinars, participant feedback, external review
- Pursuing strategies that:
 - Maximize outcomes for watershed management
 - Ecosystem benefits
 - Climate change
 - Invasive species
 - Improve permit applications and review
 - Develop a national strategy for improving wetland restoration success

ACTION PLAN IMPLEMENTATION



WEBINAR SCHEDULE & RECORDINGS

Association of State Wetland Managers - Protecting the Nation's Wetlands.



ASWM Upcoming Webinars

- Stream/Wet Meadow Restoration September 8, 2015
- The Florida Wetlands Integrity Dataset: Part 2 September 16, 2015
- Solar Project Siting and Wetland Permitting September 29, 2015

For a complete list of ASWM webinars, click here.



purpose. This report encourages the thoughtful identification of the most

annonriate and efficient methods in light of available financial and staff recourses

assessment methods to obtain science-based answers to wetland management problems. While it provides an overview of many common approaches to wetland monitoring, the focus is primarily on why these methods are selected for a given

Remember Me

Password

WEBINAR SCHEDULE & RECORDINGS



FUTURE SCHEDULE

Topics for the remainder of 2016:

- August (date TBD): How to Select the Right Wetland Restoration Team
- September (date TBD): Long-term Management & Legal
 Protections for Voluntary Wetland Restoration
- October (date TDB): Prioritizing Wetland Restoration
 Mitigation Site Selection in the Face of Climate Change
- Tuesday, Nov. 8: Final draft report: A National Strategy for Improving Wetlands Restoration Outcomes

FOR FULL SCHEDULE, GO TO: http://aswm.org/aswm/6774future-webinars-improving-wetland-restoration-successproject

INTERESTED IN RECEIVING CEUS?

Who can get CEUs?

- You must be a participant during the live webinar presentation.
- We are able to track webinar participation by registrants using our GoToWebinar software.
- Documentation will state that you were a participant for X hours of a specific ASWM webinar.

Receiving Documentation

If you need CEUs for your participation in today's webinar, you **must request** documentation from ASWM.

Please note that we will send the documentation to you <u>for you to</u> <u>forward</u> to the accrediting organization.

Please contact **Laura Burchill** laura@aswm.org (207) 892-3399

Provide:

- Your full name (as registered)
- Webinar date and Title

PRESENTERS



Dr. John A. Stanturf U.S. Forest Service



Dr. John W. Groninger Southern Illinois University

A "COOKBOOK" APPROACH TO WETLAND RESTORATION WON'T WORK

There are too many variables.

- Every landscape is different
 Purpose of restoration varies
 Even a good design may not anticipate events
- •Time needed varies



Intervention and adaptation may be needed during and after construction
Evaluating progress and completeness is needed

Major Reasons for Failure (examples)

Overarching

- Poorly Defined
 Outcomes/Performance
 Criteria
- Lack of Access to Expertise and Training
- •Lack of Accountability and Enforcement
- •Altered and Changing Landscapes/Climate
- •Separation of Professions The 'Silo' effect

Site-Specific

- Planning issues, i.e., Inadequate Assessment of landscape, hydrology & soils
- Construction issues, i.e., failure to implement design, no adaptive management
- Post construction issues, i.e., poor record keeping, limited follow up activity to address problems

How Do We Improve?

- Better defined goals and performance criteria
- Improve Access to Knowledge and Training
- Require Accountability
- Require Documentation of Credentials
- Develop a Common Taxonomy

- Adopt New Science and Technology into Regulations and Guidance
- Engage Multi-Disciplinary, Integrated Teams
 - Regional Data Depositories to Document Reasons for Success and Failure

EACH WETLAND RESTORATION PROJECT IS UNIQUE:

- Consider both historic and current landscape setting
- Analyze how water moves into and out of the site
- Evaluate soils present and identify any onsite drainage
- Focus first on hydrology and soil first, last on plants
- Develop a plan that is achievable for the site
- Develop comprehensive cost estimates
- Ensure plan is followed
- Hire experienced and knowledgeable contractors
- Adapt plan as needed during construction
- Determine if monitoring criteria will measure progress
- Keep good records and share with others







WHITE PAPER AVAILABLE TO REVIEW

http://www.aswm.org/pdf lib/wetland restoration whitepaper 041415.pdf

This white paper is currently in draft form only. The final version is expected to be completed by the end of 2016. Chapter Two will be extensively revised after significant consultation with federal and state agencies and non-governmental organizations involved in wetland restoration efforts in order to identify actions that are already being done, new actions that can be done, and agencies/organizations that can implement them.

Wetland Restoration

Contemporary Issues & Lessons Learned

v. 6.29.16

Additional Information: http://www.aswm.org/wetland-science/wetland-restoration

CHAPTER 2: ACTIONS TO IMPROVE WETLAND RESTORATION

OVERALL RECOMMENDED ACTIONS

This current document identifies needed actions. In 2015 & 2016, this part of the paper will be expanded and revised to identify how these changes could be implemented by suggesting who, what and how.

RECOMMENDED ACTION #1: DEVELOP CLEAR PROJECT GOALS & USE APPROPRIATE AND QUANTIFIABLE PERFORMANCE STANDARDS TO MEASURE PROGRESS

RECOMMENDED ACTION #2: DEVELOP ACHIEVABLE PERFORMANCE CRITERIA FOR SHORT TERM EVALUATION AND ESTABLISH A LONG-TERM MANAGEMENT PLAN

RECOMMENDED ACTION #3: ESTABLISH APPROPRIATE PERFORMANCE CRITERIA BASED ON RESTORATION GOALS & PROJECT TYPE

RECOMMENDED ACTION #4: RESEARCH THE SITE'S LAND USE HISTORY AND MODEL POTENTIAL FUTURE STRESSORS USING HISTORICAL TREND DATA

RECOMMENDED ACTION #5: Use a WATERSHED APPROACH

RECOMMENDED ACTION #6: INCLUDE PRE AND POST CONSTRUCTION COSTS IN ESTIMATES

RECOMMENDED ACTION #7: Use an Adaptive Management Approach Throughout the Life of the Project

RECOMMENDED ACTION #8: REQUIRE DOCUMENTATION OF CREDENTIALS, PROVIDE INCENTIVES & ENFORCE ACCOUNTABILITY

RECOMMENDED ACTION #9: IMPROVE ACCESS TO KNOWLEDGE & TRAINING AND ENGAGE MULTI-DISCIPLINARY INTERDISCIPLINARY TEAMS

Identifying Challenges Can Lead to Solutions: A Previous Case



National Mitigation Action Plan Recommendations Example: The Corps and EPA, in conjunction with USDA, DOI, and NOAA, working with States and Tribes, will co-lead the development of guidance on the use of on-site vs. offsite and in-kind vs. out-of-kind compensatory mitigation by the end of 2003.

RECOMMENDED ACTION #2:

DEVELOP ACHIEVABLE PERFORMANCE CRITERIA FOR SHORT TERM EVALUATION AND ESTABLISH A LONG-TERM MANAGEMENT PLAN

Seeking Specific Recommendations

*Who should take action (can be many parties)?

*What should they do?
How should they do it?

Recommendations Welcome

* Please submit to:

Marla Stelk (one of your moderators today!) marla@aswm.org

Bottomland Hardwood Restoration IT WILL TAKE US A FEW MOMENTS TO MAKE THE SWITCH...

Photo Credit: Marla Stelk

Stanturf Recommendations

| Challenges | Recommendation | Selected Measures |
|--|---|---|
| Seedling quality variable and of limited genetic composition | Adopt Target Seedling Concept Capture more genetic variability in seed collection | Collect seed from more stands Provide more information on sources Test planting stock on more sites |
| Low stocking levels in planted stands limit long-term management options | Increase survival Increase planting density | Control competing vegetation (herbicides, cover crops) to reduce mortality Plant more seedlings to achieve higher stocking with given mortality levels |
| Long-term effort is required | Incorporate full project cycle in funding programs Adopt adaptive management | Require explicit objectives that specify expected restoration trajectories Monitor and report on performance at site, landscape, and program levels |
| Climate change will alter river base levels and introduce more frequent extreme events | Adapt to projected climate change in species selections Increase diversity of composition and structure (risk reduction) | Increase understanding of intimate species mixtures Revise planting guideline for site matching as climate changes |

Groninger Recommendations

| Groninger Recommendations | | | |
|---|--|---|--|
| Challenges | Recommendation | Selected Measures | |
| Delivering high quality ecosystem services on restored lands | State and justify clear and specific restoration objectives | Monitor and report restoration performance in terms of pre- selected indicators (economic impact, key species occurrence, diversity of desired cover types) | |
| Invasive species | Accept the inevitability of a changing biota on some sites Retain focus on ecosystem functionality Steady funding to allow consistent management | Performance based, but with focus on establishing clear relationships between biotic composition and indicator performance on a site specific basis | |
| Putting into action the understanding that many disturbances are inherent to a healthy ecosystem | Establish and maintain manager-driven research cooperatives to address common problems at the regional level Multi-disciplinary training for managers | Relating existing site conditions to those needed to achieve high priority ecosystem services | |



Dr. John A. Stanturf jstanturf@fs.fed.us

Dr. John W. Groninger groninge@siu.edu

www.aswm.org

207-892-3399



Thank you for your participation!



www.aswm.org