Building a Model Compliance/Enforcement Program for States and Tribes

Association of State Wetland Managers Webinar Sept. 29, 2020



Considerations for an effective compliance/enforcement program

- Establish & adopt written
 policies, & procedures for enforcement
- Sustainable funding & staffing (including training)
- Create program oversight (inspections, monitoring and reporting, etc.)
- Develop investigation tools & procedures
- Prioritize efforts including public outreach & education

Develop a Strong Regulatory/Legal Foundation



law hierarchy

- Constitution, statutes (USC), regulations (CFR) are law/code, guidance is not.
- But be aware of the importance of Case Law as they relate to guidance.
- Following guidance; making policies locally implementable.
- Enforcement programs need to draw upon a mix of skills and expertise (technical & legal).

Example - Clean Water Act

- Section 301: Prohibits the discharge of pollutants w/o a permit or exemption. (33 USC 1311)
- Section 404: Establishes authority to issue permits for the discharge of dredged or fill material into waters of the U.S. at specified disposal sites. (33 USC 1344)
- Section 309: Outlines enforcement procedures and criminal, civil, and administrative penalties under the CWA (33 USC 1319)





404 Enforcement Regulations (33 CFR 326)



- > Outlines basic enforcement policies
- > Outline enforcement procedures
 - Address activities performed without required Department of the Army 404 permits
 - Activities not in compliance with the terms and conditions of issued Department of the Army 404 permits.
 - Initiating legal action

Is enforcement discretionary for agencies? - YES

Should we treat each violator the same (e.g., developers, government agencies, "mom and pop" landowners)? – Ideally YES, but can also depend on many other factors

Example – Elements of 404 CWA Violation

- 1. Discharge of Dredged or Fill Material
- 2. Into Waters of the United States
- 3. From a Point source
- 4. By any Person
- 5. Without authorization or exemption





So Who Does What in 404 CWA Enforcement?



- EPA concentrates its enforcement efforts on unpermitted discharges- with some exceptions;
- The Corps enforces against permit violations and unpermitted activities
- Both Corp & EPA work w/ State agencies on related violations

Securing Program Funding

Environmental enforcement programs use a variety of funding sources, including:

- General Revenues. Many state fund environmental enforcement programs, by allocating funds from general revenues, e.g., income or sales taxes on industry and/or private citizens.
- Pollution Taxes or Fees. Enforcement programs can be funded by taxes levied on or fees charged to facilities based on the amount and/or toxicity of their pollution.
- Inspection Charge. Some programs obtain income by charging facilities for inspections.
- Permit or License Charge. Program income can be obtained by charging facilities for obtaining a state/tribal permit or license.
- Monetary Penalties. Policymakers will need to decide what will be done with monetary penalties collected under the program. These can either be deposited in a general government or environmental program fund, or used directly to pay for enforcement program expenses.



Common Federal Enforcement Options/Tools

- Injunctive Relief
- Penalties
- Supplemental Environmental Projects
- Civil Judicial Actions
- Criminal Judicial Actions





Injunctive Relief Tools



- Request for Information/Notice of Violation (order that asks information of the violator, while serving notice of a potential violation, also used to request site access)
- Administrative Orders (either bilateral agreement, or a unilateral order to a respondent) for restoration or removal
- Tolling Agreements (defers the accumulation of penalties to give time to resolve the violation)

\$Penalties\$



- Goal of a federal penalty assessment is to provide deterrence, fair and equitable treatment of the regulated community, and swift resolution of environmental problems.
- Considers economic benefits derived from violation or avoiding permits



Why Use Penalties as a tool

- Effect a deterrent when the sum of the economic benefit and the punitive portion of the penalty is less than the maximum penalty allowed
- Leverage to achieve compliance
- Encourages more efficient resolution of violation than civil or criminal action
- Establishes a history of prior violations for a potential repeat violator that strengthens a subsequent civil or criminal enforcement action





Civil Judicial Actions – Going to Court

Considered on a case by case basis, using the following factors:

- Quality of waters affected
- Impact of the discharge
- Culpability of the violator
- Deterrence value
- Benefit from the violation





Civil vs. Criminal Tools



- Criminal enforcement uses stringent sanctions to promote deterrence and ensure compliance vs. civil emphasizes compliance through use of less stringent means.
- Criminal enforcement used for serious environmental violations which also involve egregious negligence or conduct involving intentional or knowing disregard of the law

Criminal Judicial Actions



Four factors are usually considered:

- Negligently violates an environmental statute or permit requirement
- Knowingly violates environmental statute or permit requirement
- Violates an environmental statutue or conditions of a permit & knowingly endangers anothers

But First Things First !!!



- Compliance of issued permits is key to maintaining an effective regulatory program.
- Compliance assistance tools are needed to help permittees and the regulated community to understand what is required.
- Adequate and continuous training of staff and consultants of program requirements is also needed.



Compliance Monitoring-Supervision of Authorized Activities







Compliance Inspections

- Review the permit's administrative record, including monitoring reports and other compliance documents submitted by/for permittee
- Identify issues of potential concern \rightarrow develop a plan
- Contact permittee/agent to set up site inspection
- Assemble pertinent documents
 - Permit and drawings
 - Mitigation and monitoring plans
 - Aerial photos, maps, notes from prior inspections



 Focus on whether or not permitted activity (or required compensatory mitigation) is in compliance with the terms and conditions of the permit.



Resolution Options for Informal Enforcement Actions

- Voluntary restoration or other corrective measures to bring work into compliance (or sufficiently close to compliance)
- Administrative Penalty
- Modify permit
 - Mitigation requirements
 - Special conditions
- No further enforcement action





Factors to Consider for Formal Enforcement

Impact of unauthorized work

- Nature and extent of impact
- Likely duration of impact
 - When did it happen?
 - How long will the effects of the impact persist in the environment?
- Affected resource
 - special aquatic site?
 - priority waterbody/watershed?



Priority Factors (cont.)

- Impacts to State projects or property
- ESA, Tribal, cultural resources, Section 106

The violator

- Mom-and-pop?
- Knowing and willful?
- Repeat violator?
- Prior permit history
- Permit program awareness
- Cooperativeness
- Ability to pay/restore





Priority Factors (cont.)

Legal and enforcement logistics

- Age of violation statute of limitations?
- Practicability of equitable resolution
- Likelihood of qualifying for After the Fact authorization
- Legal strength of case violation clearly documentable?
- Other regulatory agencies taking legal action?



Consider a Decision Matrix Tool

- This is a tool to help regulators:
 - Ask the right questions consistently
 - Be consistent in evaluation of impacts
 - Assess impacts/seriousness of unpermitted activities
 - Evaluate full range of enforcement resolution options
 - Make consistent, well-informed decisions





Basic Concepts of a Matrix to Prioritize Enforcement

- Simple, intuitive measures; highly adjustable
- Broad range of enforcement considerations
- Not a violation analysis per se, but a tool to help assess an unauthorized activity and plan a resolution strategy
- Useful throughout enforcement process:
 - Initial investigation/site visit
 - Support rationale for violation determination



- Help determine lead enforcement agency (if other agencies are involved)
- Support rationale for no further action and other enforcement resolutions



ia g isic	grouped ir subject	ertain high- nportance crit / Seattle District		10-point sc simple, fun nt Decision	ctional	Assign poin for each crit	
Enforcement Action Reference Number: Decision Criteria		ber:	Points				
		0					
1	Navigation impacts (score = points x 4)	None	Slight (e.g., small structure)	Minor (e.g., restrict neighbor's access)	Moderate (e.g., interfere w/ general navigation)	Severe (e.g., block federal navigation channel)	
2	Impact to cultural resources/ historic properties (score = points x 3)	None	Slight	Minor (e.g., CR near project area)	Moderate	Serious (e.g., NRHP-listed site impacted)	
3	Impact on water quality	None	Slight	Minor	Moderate	High	
4	Impact to EFH and ESA-listed species (including designated critical habitat)	None	Slight	Minor, temporary	Minor, permanent	Serious	
5	Expected duration of ecological and/o navigational impacts	r Fleeting (e.g., <2 months)		Short term (e.g., 1 or 2 years)		Long term or Permanent (e.g., > 5 years)	
6	Risk to environment of leaving work in place and unauthorized	None	Slight	Minor	Moderate	High	
7	Risk to public health or safety of leavin work in place and unauthorized	ng None	Slight	Minor	Moderate	High	
8	Practicability of quickly implementing measures that reduce or compensate for impacts	Not practicable		Fairly practicable		Very practicable	
_							_
					Intuitive		

Using the matrix to inform enforcement decisions



	Adjustable	A "starting point" for enforcement regulators			
Point Range	Suggested initial enforcement strategy				
≥ 130	Major violation. Consider ir	mmediate referal to EPA or U.S. Attorney.			
110 - 130		that will likely involve complex resolution (e.g., hit, and/or compensatory mitigation)			
80 - 110	Minor enforcement action. permit or removal/restoration	Seek expeditious resolution such as ATF general on.			
40 - 80	Document violation and ser enforcment action at this tin	nd violator a letter of warning; take no further ne.			
≤ 40		mplete a JD and field investigation report as IFR documenting decision. No letter required.			

A "etarting point" for

Coordination of Enforcement Actions

- Violations of a S/T environmental statute may also be a federal violation
- Coordination between agencies on potential cases can facilitate effective resolution (reduce duplication of effort)
- Consider coordination agreements/meetings (ex. FLA meetings between Corp/EPA) to determine lead agency and roles.





Think about communication practices for enforcement

- Respondent;
- Internal via briefings and agency newsletter;
- Notify other Agencies on Cc' order;
- Cc' the Corps on State/Tribal Order
- Consider when to issue Press Releases





Summary



- Effective enforcement program development can take time and effort, consider progressive steps.
- Focus limited program resources on highest priority enforcement actions.
- Maximize program integrity and public education benefits of higher priority enforcement actions with a focus on compliance assistance.
- Consider environmental impact, program integrity, enforcement logistics, and available resources when setting enforcement case priorities.
- Develop tools/policies to make consistent decisions and efficiently manage enforcement workload.

Questions?



